

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,592	07/05/2006	Hiromu Ueshima	04995/281001	4280
2251.1 7590 04/27/2009 OSHA LIANG L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
TWO HOUSTON CENTER 909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500			HICKS, CHARLES V	
HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
				Ι
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com buta@oshaliang.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/562,592	UESHIMA ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHARLES HICKS	2629				
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>						
(1) <u>CHARLES HICKS</u> .	(3)					
(2) Thomas Share.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 April 2009	Time: 3:00PM					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 1-32 Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Sumati Lefkowitz/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/562,592

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant called and informed Examiner that the set of claims examined had been previously cancelled and that new claims were added. Examiner agreed and called applicant indicating that a new, non-final Office Action on the current set of claims would be forthcoming.